

No.23/ 2024 dated: 26-04-2024**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST for 02-05-2024 (Forenoon)**
(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)Venue: Court Hall of the CommissionTime : 11.00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES) Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co- Ordination, SLDC	M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemed Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. For admission of the I.A. filed by the petitioner and for further hearing on objections.

2	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2022	The Tata Power Co. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC	SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.53.72 crores in favour of the petitioner as the differential amount including interest for wrongfully fixing the rate at 75% of the preferential tariff rates even for the years where the APPC rates did not breach the preferential tariff rates. For admission of I.A. filed by the petitioner.
3	M.P.No.35 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ariya Plastics	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2021-2022. For counter as a last chance.
4	M.P.No.36 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2015-2016. For counter as a last chance.
5	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	M/s.Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2 & R-3	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For filing counter as a last chance.

6	M.P.No.6 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For filing counter together with memo.</p>
7	M.P.No.7 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For filing counter together with memo.</p>
8	M.P.No.8 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For filing counter together with memo.</p>

9	M.P.No.11 of 2024	<p>CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus</p> <p>(1) M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Ltd (2) MIOT Hospitals Limited (3) Global Calcuim Pvt. Ltd (4) Tab India Granites Pvt. Ltd (5) Ammarun Foundaries (6) Prithviraj Spinning Mills Pvt Limited (7) Euro Shoe Components Ltd (8) Godrej Agrovert Ltd (9) Rane Brake Lining Ltd (10) Rane Engine Valve Ltd (11) Rane TRW Steering Systems Pvt. Ltd (12) Rane TRW Steering Systems Pvt. Ltd</p>	Adv.Richardson Wilson	<p>To declare that the 1st Respondent, M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Limited has lost the captive generating plant status under Rule-3 of Electricity Rules, read with Section (8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the financial years 2014-15 to 2021-2022 and consequently declare that the users of the said generator are not captive users within the meaning of Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 and further declare that the said users are liable to pay the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for adjusted electricity and other orders.</p> <p>For filing counter by all the respondents.</p>
10	M.P.No.15 of 2024	<p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (iii) SE/Chennai EDC/North</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 15.12.2020 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders.</p> <p>For counter.</p>

11	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallocate 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust by petitioner's captive users during 2024-25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801. For counter.
12	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2024	M/s.SRG Apparels Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC (iv) SE/Trichy/Metro EDC (v) M/s.Apex Coco and Solar Energy Ltd	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-4	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 06.02.2024 and impugned CC bill dated 08.03.2024 and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice bearing letter dated 6.2.2024 and 8.3.2024 insofar as Sl.No.20 'Adjustment Charges' of Rs.26,02,888/- is concerned as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the scheme of OA which is guaranteed under the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass appropriate orders. For filing counter in both I.A. and Main Petition.
13	R.A.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Director (Finance) iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC v) SE/Theni EDC	M/s.Rugan & Arya Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.80,81,31,929/- plus Rs.14,80,198.65 being the balance due for the period from 16.03.2017 to 07.12.2020 to the petitioner from TANGEDCO for power

		vi) SE/Dindigul EDC		<p>supplied from the wind generation units.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 15.02.2024 in Appeal No.155 of 2022 dated 15.02.2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.23 of 2020 for consideration afresh in accordance with law.</p> <p>For further hearing</p>
14	M.P.No.10 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller, TANTRANSCO Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	<p>Seeking approval for true-up for FY 2020-2021 as per the provisions of the Tariff Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the Final True-up for FY 2020-21 and to approve the actual revenue Gap / Surplus arising on account of truing-up for the FY 2020-21 and other orders.</p> <p>For filing affidavit and for further hearing.</p>
15	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	<p>To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the</p>

				<p>APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions.</p> <p>For filing reply by TANGEDCO and for further hearing.</p>
16	D.R.P.No.14 of 2023	<p>M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D030/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.</p> <p>For reporting status.</p>
17	D.R.P.No.15 of 2023	<p>M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D032/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.</p> <p>For reporting status.</p>
18	D.R.P.No.16 of 2023	<p>M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D031/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of</p>

				law and contrary to the orders of the Commission. For reporting status.
19	M.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 12.04.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 and R.P.No.5 of 2022 dated 13.07.2023, and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders. For verification of compliance.
20	M.P.No.23 of 2023	M/s.Christian Medical College Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Vellore EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff. For further arguments of the petitioner.
21	M.P.No.24 of 2023	M/s.Christian Medical College Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Vellore EDC	S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/Comml/EE/T/A EE1/SFMC/CR.No.7887 /2002 dt.27.09.2002

				<p>issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.</p> <p>For further arguments of the petitioner.</p>
22	M.P.No.28 of 2023	<p>M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To issue an order of interim stay of the impugned letter No.CFC/REV/FC/REV/A O/H/F.APPC/D.No.291/2022 dt.01.04.2022 capping the APPC for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and all proceedings pursuant and consequent thereto in order that the full APPC price and exercise Regulatory power and call for the records comprised in the impugned Letter dated 01.04.2022 capping the APPC rate for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and quash the same as being illegal and without authority of law and strictly comply with the regulations and directives fixing the APPC by this Commission.</p> <p>For reply arguments of the petitioner.</p>

23	D.R.P.No.20 of 2023	M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd Versus i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.MSA Partners Adv. N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Hold and direct TANGEDCO to compensate and pay the principal along with interest which totalling to Rs.2,53,97,360 towards the loss caused to the petitioner. For arguments.
24	D.R.P.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Southern Energy Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the action of the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 of the 3rd Respondent and letter dated 13.09.2013 of the 4th respondent whereby the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and other orders. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

No.24/ 2024 dated: 26-04-2024

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 02-05-2024 (Afternoon)

(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 02.30 PM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
2	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
3	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
4	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**