

No.1/ 2024 dated: 02-02-2024

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 06-02-2024****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES) Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co- Ordination, SLDC	M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemed Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has correctly accounted for payments made against its energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest

				stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/- including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest. For counter.
3	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For counter.
4	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions. For further hearing.
5	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	M/s.Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2 & R-3	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated

				09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For arguments on maintainability.
6	M.P.No.22 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017. For arguments.
Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units – For arguments				
7	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i)Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
8	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
9	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units
10	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

11	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
12	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
13	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
15	R.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.853 of 2023 dated 30.11.2023 set-aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.1 of 2023 and remanded back to the Commission for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For arguments.

16	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DFC/AO/WIND/AS/F.OASoft ware/ D.No.354/23 dt.08.05.2023. For arguments.
17	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till this matter is finally disposed of and also quash the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission