

No.12/ 2024 dated: 12-03-2024

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST for 14-03-2024****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Name of the Parties</b>	<b>Counsel / Party</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	I.A.No.1 of 2024 & M.P.No.10 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller, TANTRANSCO Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To condone the delay of 544 days in filing true-up petition praying for approval of ARR and to admit the said petition seeking approval for the true-up for FY 2020-2021 as per the provisions of the Tariff Regulations, 2005 and MYT Regulations, 2009 and to approve the Final True-up for FY 2020-21 and to approve the actual revenue Gap / Surplus arising on account of trueing-up for the FY 2020-21 and other orders.  For admission.
2	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.  For counter.
3	M.P.No.34 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aniruth Green India Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the Fys 2017-2018 & 2018-2019.  For counter as a last chance.

4	M.P.No.35 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ariya Plastics	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2021-2022. For counter as a last chance.
5	M.P.No.36 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2015-2016. For counter as a last chance.
6	M.P.No.37 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2018-2019. For counter as a last chance.
7	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus  M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017. For filing reply by the respondent.
8	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this

				Commission and pass such further or other directions. For filing reply by TANGEDCO and for further hearing.
9	M.P.No.38 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv,Rahul Balaji	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2022-2023. For further hearing.
10	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
12	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
13	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders. For arguments.

14	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	<p>M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle</p>	<p>Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.N.Kumanan &amp; Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and delayed payment along with interest. For arguments as a last chance.</p>
15	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	<p>M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.Anirudh Krishnan  Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For arguments as a last chance.</p>

<b>Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units – For arguments</b>				
16	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANS CO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
17	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
18	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited  Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units
19	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
20	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
21	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

22	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
23	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
24	D.R.P.No.5 of 2023	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO	SKV Law Offices  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue directions treating the loss of generation of 1985.52 MUs as computed from April 2020 till January 2022 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation and to direct TANGEDCO to make payments of Rs.2,46,44,455 along with carrying cost of Rs.82,38,300. For arguments.
25	M.P.No.13 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	Adv.Aviral Dhirendra  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notices dated 14.12.2022 &

				02.01.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020. For arguments.
26	M.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	Adv.Aviral Dhirendra  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020. For arguments.
27	M.P.No.28 of 2023	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue an order of interim stay of the impugned letter No.CFC/REV/FC/REV/AO/H/F.APPC/D.No.2 91/2022 dt.01.04.2022 capping the APPC for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and all proceedings pursuant and consequent thereto in order that the full APPC price and exercise Regulatory

				<p>power and call for the records comprised in the impugned Letter dated 01.04.2022 capping the APPC rate for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and quash the same as being illegal and without authority of law and strictly comply with the regulations and directives fixing the APPC by this Commission. For arguments.</p>
--	--	--	--	---

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**