

No.17/ 2025 dated: 21-03-2025

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 25-03-2025 (Forenoon)****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.2 of 2025	M/s.Echanda Urja Private Limited Vs (i) CMD/TNPDCL (ii) CFC/TNPDCL (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	M/s.SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct TNPDCL to pay an amount of Rs.3,22,52,962/- along with applicable interest of Rs.2,88,14,680/- from May 2017 till 11.02.2025 on the outstanding amount due to the petitioner pertaining to the unutilised banked energy for FY 2016-2017 & 2017-2018 and other orders. For admission.
2	M.P.No.46 of 2024	Chief Engineer / NCES, Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited (TNGECL)	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To approve the detailed slot timing C1-06.00 AM to 08.00 AM, C2-08.00 AM to 05.00 PM, C-3 05.00 PM to 12.00 Hrs. (Mid night) and C-4 12.00 Hrs (Midnight) to 06.00 AM and also to approve solar energy generated during solar hours between 8.00 AM and 5.00 PM can be adjusted only for consumption during the above hours i.e., between 8.00 AM and 5.00 PM only. The solar energy generated during C1 Slot (6.00 AM to 8.00 AM) and in C3 slot (05.00 PM to 12.00 Hrs

				Midnight) can be permitted for adjustment during day hours (08.00 AM to 05.00 PM). For filing affidavit and further hearing.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2025 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2024	M/s.Reconnect Energy Solutions Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GO, SLDC (iii) M/s.Manikaran Analytics – Proposed Respondent (iv) M/s.Harikrishnan Power & Technology Pvt. Ltd – Proposed Respondent	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Interlocutory Application filed by the petitioner under Regulation 94(2) of the TNERC – Conduct of Business Regulations 2004 read with Section 32 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for impleading R-3 & R-4 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2024. For admission of I.A. No.1 of 2025 filed by the petitioner.
	I.A.No.1 of 2024 to I.A.No.3 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2024 and D.R.P.No.11 of 2024	M/s.Reconnect Energy Solutions Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GO, SLDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the 2nd Respondent's impugned notice bearing letter dated 18.06.2024 and 25.06.2024 as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the TNERC (Forecasting, scheduling and Deviation Settlement and related matters for Wind and Solar Generation) Regulations, 2024 and consequently direct the 2nd Respondent to register the petitioner as 'Solar State Level QCA' for Tamil Nadu as per Regulations 5.1 of the Regulation and other orders. For reply arguments of the petitioner.

4	M.P.No.19 of 2023	Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.Shree Law Services	To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017-2018. For further hearing.
	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023	Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale, Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 Captives	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.Shree Law Services	Application filed by the petitioner to implead the Resolution Professional and the captive users as proposed respondents. For further hearing.
	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO	M/s.Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson	Application filed by M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Ltd to reject the application filed by TANGEDCO in I.A.No.1 of 2024. For reply arguments of the petitioner.
5	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions. For arguments.

6	D.R.P.No.9 of 2024	<p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/IPP/PPP, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/SLDC, TANTRANSCO</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To declare that the bill dispute Notices issued by the Respondent dated 26.05.2023 & 26.06.2023 are illegal and contrary to the terms of the PPA and direct the Respondents to pay the petitioner an amount of Rs.1,66,501 towards incentive / capacity charges for declared capacity on 16.5.2023 and direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.1,46,77,682 for the power supplied by the petitioner from 19.4.2023 to 16.5.2023 under the pass through mechanism during the operation of MoP directions dated 5.5.2022 and 20.2.2023 and other others.</p> <p>For filing legal precedents by the respondent and further hearing</p>
7	D.R.P.No.10 of 2024	<p>M/s.L.S.Mills Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Generation (iii) SE/NCES (iv) SE (i/c) / Operation (v) SE/Theni EDC</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To direct the respondents to give refund of Rs.1,60,00,000 towards refundable security deposit along with interest amount of Rs.27,20,000 as on 30.04.2024 and pass such further or other orders.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
8	R.A.No.3 of 2024	<p>(i) M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited (ii) M/s.Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Limited Versus TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji (P1 & P2) Adv.N.Kumanan &</p>	<p>To issue an order for a separate categorization of power plants who are supplying bagasse fibre to TNPL and to order the tariff under fossil fuel based co-generation during</p>

			Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	<p>crushing season and normal Tariff during non-crushing season and other orders.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 30.05.2024 in Appeal No.294 of 2016 setting aside the orders of the Commission in P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2011 for consideration afresh in accordance with law.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
9	M.P.No.6 of 2023	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO Ltd	M/s.J Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	<p>To approve the actual capital cost incurred by the petitioner as being the "Trued Up Capital Cost" in terms of Article 3.9, Article 12 and Article 14 of the PPA read with Regulations 18 to 20 and 90 of the TNERC - Tariff Regulations 2005 and to approve the revised tariff as per the Trued Up Capital Cost which shall be applicable from 3rd anniversary of the CoD.</p> <p>For arguments.</p>
	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in M.P.No.6 of 2023	TNEB Engineers' Union Versus i)M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited ii)TANGEDCO Ltd	Adv.S.Titto Prabhu M/s.J Sagar Associates for R-1 Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-2	<p>Interlocutory Application filed by TNEB Engineers' Union praying to permit them to implead in this matter.</p> <p>For filing written submissions by the Impleading Petitioner.</p>

	I.A.No.3 of 2024 in M.P.No.6 of 2023	Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) Versus i)M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited ii)TANGEDCO Ltd	Represented by its President M/s.J Sagar Associates for R-1 Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-2	Interlocutory Application filed by PESOT praying to permit them to implead in this matter. For arguments of the Impleading Petitioner and also filing written submission.
Batch cases (From item Nos.10 to 14) - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power For arguments				
10	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
11	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
12	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
13	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.

14	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power.
Batch cases (From 15 to 17) In the matter of Detailed Procedure for Open Access in Transmission and Distribution System				
15	M.P.No.16 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited & Ors. (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd (vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	To approve the proposed changes in the 'NOC consent formats' for the HT consumer as 'Format ST-6' and for the generator as 'Format ST-5' to be issued by the SE/EDCs for the HT consumers / generators who applies for open access. For arguments of the respondents.
16	M.P.No.39 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd (vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	To approve to the format of "Status Report" to be obtained from the SE/EDCs concerned and to submit by the HT consumers who seeks open access. For arguments of the respondents.

17	M.P.No.3 of 2021	<p>M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Limited</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) CMD / TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To punish the respondents for having continuously violating the Regulatory Obligations and also for having violated the specific directions issued by the Commission in its Lr.No.TNERC/D/E/DDEI /F.OA/D.No.1202/2019 dated 7.11.2019, as required to be passed under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.</p> <p>For arguments of the petitioner.</p>
----	------------------	---	--	--

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**