

No.50/ 2024 dated: 21-08-2024

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 27-08-2024 (Forenoon)

(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 11.00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2024	M/s.Sakthi Murugan Roller Flour Mills Pvt. Limited Vs (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an order of interim stay of the 4th respondent's impugned demand notice dated 18.6.2023 and to quash the demand notice and the consequential impugned CC bill dated 07.08.2024 towards Adjustment charges of Rs.1,18,19,443/- and other orders. For admission of I.A. and main petition.
2	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.17 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.17 of 2024	M/s.Sakthi Murugan Agro Foods Pvt. Limited Vs (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an order of interim stay of the 4th respondent's impugned demand notice dated 18.6.2023 and to quash the demand notice and the consequential impugned CC bill dated 07.08.2024 towards Adjustment charges of Rs.1,27,12,257/- and other orders. For admission of I.A. and main petition.

3	R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To review the order dated 9.7.2024 in M.P.No.28 of 2023 and delete some sentence in para 4.9 and amend the directions in para-4.15(a) & (b) and other orders. For admission.
4	I.A.No.3 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2024	M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	M/s.J Sagar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson	Application filed by the petitioner under Regulation 31(2) read with Regulation 49 of TNERC - Conduct of Business Regulations, 2004 for correction of typographical error in the date and in Para-10(a) of the Commission's final order dt. 13.08.2024. For admission of I.A. filed by the petitioner.
5	R.A.No.3 of 2024	(i) M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited (ii) M/s.Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji (P1 & P2) Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue an order for a separate categorization of power plants who are supplying bagasse fibre to TNPL and to order the tariff under fossil fuel based co-generation during crushing season and normal Tariff during non-crushing season and other orders. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 30.05.2024 in Appeal No.294 of 2016 setting aside the orders of the Commission in P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2011 for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For hearing.

6	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2024	M/s.SRG Apparels Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC (iv) SE/Trichy/Metro EDC (v) M/s.Apex Coco and Solar Energy Ltd	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-4	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 06.02.2024 and impugned CC bill dated 08.03.2024 and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice bearing letter dated 6.2.2024 and 8.3.2024 insofar as SI.No.20 "Adjustment Charges' of Rs.26,02.888/- is concerned as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the scheme of OA which is guaranteed under the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass appropriate orders. For filing counter in both I.A. and Main Petition.
7	D.R.P.No.14 of 2024	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iii) SE/Commercial Operation (iv) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to refund the transmission charges of Rs.37,34,480/- paid by the petitioner in respect of its Generating Station under its MTOA dated 28.12.2022 along with interest of Rs.1,76,789/- and other orders. For counter.
8	M.P.No.21 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.ARS Energy P Ltd & 104 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1	To declare that the generator M/s.ARS Energy Pvt Ltd has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2014-2015, 2015-16, 2016-2017, 2017-18, 2018-19 & 2021-22 and consequently declare

				that the users of the said generator are not captive users within the meaning of Rule-3 and further declare that the said users are liable to pay the Cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge for adjusted electricity and pass other orders. For filing counter on both maintainability and merits.
9	M.P.No.39 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CMD / TANTRANSCO (iii) SE/Commercial Operation, TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 dated 12.03.2024 and impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023. For counter.
10	R.P.No.1 of 2024	CE/GO, TANTRANSCO Ltd Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv. Rahul Balaji	To review the order dated 12.03.2024 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 and other orders. For counter.
11	D.R.P.No.10 of 2024	M/s.L.S.Mills Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Generation (iii) SE/NCES (iv) SE (i/c) / Operation (v) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To direct the respondents to give refund of Rs.1,60,00,000 towards refundable security deposit along with interest amount of Rs.27,20,000 as on 30.04.2024 and pass such further or other orders. For rejoinder.

12	M.P.No.6 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing memo by the petitioner.</p>
13	M.P.No.7 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing memo by the petitioner.</p>
14	M.P.No.8 of 2024	<p>M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For filing memo by the petitioner.</p>

15	M.P.No.14 of 2024	<p>Thiru.N.Muthukumaar Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC / Revenue (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tiruppur EDC</p>	<p>Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 07.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.12 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders. For filing memo by the petitioner.</p>
16	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in L.P.No.1 of 2024	<p>Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES) Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co- Ordination, SLDC</p>	<p>M/s.P.V.S. Giridhar Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemed Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. For further hearing.</p>

17	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
18	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
19	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
Batch cases - In the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power For arguments				
20	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25357 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments.
21	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments.

22	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25246 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments.
23	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25247 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levy of penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments.
24	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 & R-2 Adv.Mahasweta for R-3	W.P.No.25248 of 2010 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of levying penalty on alleged excess drawal of power. For arguments.
25	M.P.No.13 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department	Adv.Tushar Jain Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notices dated 14.12.2022 & 02.01.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020. For arguments.

26	M.P.No.14 of 2023	<p>M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/South (iii) Secretary to Govt., Energy Department</p>	<p>Adv.Tushar Jain</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Direct the respondents to not take any coercive measures including disconnection of electricity in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-2 of the petitioner and to quash the impugned demand notice dated 07.03.2023 as arbitrary and illegal and set aside letters dated 31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 & notices and direct the respondents No.1 to treat the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for the period prior to 01.08.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For arguments.</p>
27	D.R.P.No.7 of 2024	<p>M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Limited M/s.Lemon Tree Hotels Ltd M/s.Shimona Hotels Pvt Ltd</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) CFC/Revenue</p>	<p>MSA Partners</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p>	<p>Direct TANGEDCO to adjust the outstanding amount of Rs.26,98,179/- due to SEP Energy against the consumption charges / open access charges payable by petitioners No.2 & 3 respectively, along with interest at the rate of 18% p.a. amounting to Rs.37,72,841/- and other orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For arguments.</p>

(By order of the Commission)

**Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission**