(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2021 & D.R.P.No.17 of 2020

i) M/s.Walwhan Renewable Energy Ltd.

ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Ltd.

..... Petitioners

(SKV Law Offices)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 01.08.2024

#### **DAILY ORDER**

#### Order pronounced.

"6.23. In the result the petition is partly allowed on the following terms:

- (a) The respondents are directed to pay a sum of Rs.1,60,65,208/- as compensation to petitioner towards loss of generation on account of forced curtailment for reasons other than grid security during the period from FY 2016 to FY2020.
- (b) Regarding the prayer to declare that any curtailment from September 2020 shall also be reimbursed to the petitioner as deemed generation chargers and direct the respondents to abide by the mandate of the Electricity Act 2003, Regulations and policies to maintain the 'must run' status qua the petitioner, we have no hesitation in reiterating the direction of the Commission already

contemplated in M.P.No.16 of 2016 that the must run status of RE plants shall be maintained in letter and spirit in compliance of statutory provisions.

(c) Parties shall bear their respective cost. Petition is ordered accordingly".

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal)

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.23 of 2023

M/s.Christian Medical College ..... Petitioner

(Adv.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO

(ii) SE/Vellore EDC

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

#### Order pronounced.

"In view of the foregoing discussion, we conclude that the prayer for treating the consumption from the HTSC No. 1095 for the petitioner's hospital services, which is now under HT III, to the one under HT IIB, by way of conversion is not sustainable. Ultimately this Commission decides that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief including the relief of declaration. Accordingly this issue is decided. In the result, the petition is dismissed. Parties shall bear their respective cost".

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.24 of 2023

M/s.Christian Medical College ..... Petitioner

(Adv.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO

(ii) SE/Vellore EDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

# Order pronounced.

In view of the foregoing discussion, we conclude that the prayer for treating the consumption for the petitioner's services connections, which is now under HT III, to the one under HT IIB, by way of conversion is not sustainable. Ultimately this Commission decides that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief including the relief of declaration. Accordingly this issue is decided. In the result, the petition is dismissed. Parties shall bear their respective cost".

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### **PRESENT:-**

Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Adv.Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

# Order pronounced.

In the result this Commission doth order as follows:-

- (a) It is hereby declared that the petitioner SEPC having suffered adverse financial impact in the course of supplying power to the respondent TANGEDCO in obedience to the directions issued by the Appropriate Government u/s 11 (1) of the Electricity Act 2003, is entitled to have the adverse impact offset as per the mandate of Section 11(2) of the Electricity Act 2003.
- (b) In the exercise of ascertaining the adverse financial impact suffered by the petitioner the following factors shall be considered.
  - (i) In so far as the operational parameters for the petitioner is concerned normative parameters have to be considered while calculating the adverse financial impact. The operational parameter has to be considered in the following manner

Station Heat Rate - 2342 K.cal/Kwhr

Auxiliary consumption - 6.25% Coal losses - 50 Kcal/Kg

(ii) Any claim of the petitioner in deviation from the normative parameters due to SLDC's direction for operation below target plant availability but above technical minimum has to be considered as per Commission's Tariff Regulations 2005 para 37 (vii) notified in TNERC Notification No. TNERC/TR/5/3, dated 26-05-2021.

- (iii) For ascertaining the adverse financial impact in regard to coal utilized by the petitioner during the currency of Section 11(1) direction period, GCV as determined by CIMFR alone shall be considered in respect of imported coal. In regard to Indian Coal utilized by the petitioner actual shall be considered.
- (iv) In respect of transportation and handling charges, actual charges shall be considered.
- (v) Cost of sampling and testing of coal incurred by the petitioner shall be taken in to account while reckoning the adverse financial impact.
- (vi) The final quantum of the adverse financial impact payable by the respondent to the petitioner arrived at shall carry simple interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date on which the payment is due till the date of actual payment by the respondent.
- (vii) The above quantified adverse financial impact suffered by the petitioner shall be paid on pass through basis.
- (viii)The petitioner shall raise revised invoices with the respondent in regard to the power supplied during the currency of the Section 11(1) direction period. The respondent on scrutiny of the revised invoices shall make earnest endeavour to make payment of the amounts due within thirty days from the date of receipt of the revised invoices.
- (ix) Considering the nature of dispute involved in the petition, both parties directed to bear their respective cost. Petition ordered accordingly.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(B.Mohan)	(K.Venkatesan)	(M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal)	Member	Chairman
	//True Copy//	

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.39 of 2024

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv. Rahul Balaji)

Versus

CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The Counsel for the respondent submitted that a review petition filed by TANGEDCO is also pending for disposal. The counsel for the petitioner sought tagging both petition. Petition admitted. As agreed by both side, the Review Petition filed by TANGEDCO to be tagged with this petition. The case is adjourned to 27.08.2024.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.40 of 2024

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv. Rahul Balaji)

Versus

CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

## Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that order of the Commission has been impleamented by the respondents and hence the petition may be closed. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.21 of 2024

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, ..... Petitioner
TANGEDCO (Adv. Richardson Wilson)

Versus

1) M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Ltd. & 104 Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.S.P.Parthsarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent-1 and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2024

M/s.L.S.Mills Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and filed counter affidavit. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2024 & I.A.No.2 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2024

M/s.Reconnect Energy Solutions Ltd.

..... Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO

(ii) CE/GO, SLDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and filed counter affidavit in I.A.No1 & 2 of 2024 and main petition. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 06.08.2024 for rejoinder.

(Sd......) (Sd......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (I
Member(legal) Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-	Р	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	Т	:-
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd

(Fromerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that discussions have taken place between the petitioner company and officers of TANGEDCO in regard to actual dues payable and that there exists difference of view is regard to calculation of interest as while the petitioner seeks calculation of interest from the date of cause of action, the TANGEDCO is not agreeable to the same and ready to settle the dues from the date of invoice. The counsel for the respondent also confirmed the position. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing a detailed memo. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing memo by the petitioner.

(Sd......) (Sd......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member (legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	-:-
---------	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.7 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Fromerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that discussions have taken place between the petitioner company and officers of TANGEDCO in regard to actual dues payable and that there exists difference of view is regard to calculation of interest as while the petitioner seeks calculation of interest from the date of cause of action, the TANGEDCO is not agreeable to the same and ready to settle the dues from the date of invoice. The counsel for the respondent also confirmed the position. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing a detailed memo. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing memo by the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	<b>ES</b>	ΕN	IT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.8 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd

(Fromerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that discussions have taken place between the petitioner company and officers of TANGEDCO in regard to actual dues payable and that there exists difference of view is regard to calculation of interest as while the petitioner seeks calculation of interest from the date of cause of action, the TANGEDCO is not agreeable to the same and ready to settle the dues from the date of invoice. The counsel for the respondent also confirmed the position. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing a detailed memo. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing memo by the petitioner.

Sd.......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No. 14 of 2024

Thiru.N.Muthukumaar ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing memo. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is difference between the petitioner and the respondent with regard to calculation of interest and hence, sought to file a memo thereon. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 27.08.2024 for filing memo by the petitioner.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.18 of 2024

M/s.Amarjothi Spinning Mills Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO and submitted that there instalments have been paid and only seven instalments remain to be paid. The counsel for the petitioner sought directions to TANGEDCO to file a counter. TANGEDCO is directed to file a counter affidavit. The case is adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:
----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar
Thiru.K.Venkatesan
Thiru.B.Mohan

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,
TANGEDCO

M.P.No.22 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,
TANGEDCO

Wersus

M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd.

Chairman

Member

Member(Legal)

M.P.No.22 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,
TANGEDCO

M.P.No.22 of 2022

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Ρ	R	E	S	Ε	N	T	:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar
Thiru.K.Venkatesan
Thiru.B.Mohan

M.P.No.24 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,
TANGEDCO

MS.Sheenlac Paints Ltd

Chairman

Member

Member(Legal)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd..........)(Sd...........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.30 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola)

#### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRE	S	E١	٧	Γ:-
-----	---	----	---	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.16 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

i) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. & 23 Ors. ..... Respondents

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRESENT</b>	Γ:-
----------------	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.17 of 2023

CFC/ Deposits & Documentation, ..... Petitioner
TANGEDCO (Adv.Richardson Wilson)
Versus

M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt. Ltd. ..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and prayed to post this matter for arguments on 06.08.2024. Thiru. S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 06.08.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	:-
----------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.18 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-
-----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, ..... Petitioner
TANGEDCO (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

- i) M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Ltd.
- ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale,

Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 captive users ..... Respondents

(M/s.Shree Law Services for R-1)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.T.Ravichandran, Advocate from M/s.Shree Law Services appeared for the Respondent-1 and sought time for filing an application for detaching the case of 1<sup>st</sup> respondent. At the request of the respondent-1, the case is adjourned to 29.08.2024 for filing I.A. by the Respondent-1.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

.... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.20 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner (Adv. Richardson Wilson) Versus

M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

### Hearing dated : 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.21 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRESENT</b>	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.22 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

i) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Ltd. & 12 Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Thiru.Krishna Komaravolu, Resolution Professional for R-1 Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-3,R-4, R-8, R-9,

R-11, R-12 & R-13 Adv.Rahul Balaji for –R-5)

Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. No representation from Thiru.Krishna Komaravolu, Resolution Professional of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for R-3, R-4, R-8, R-9, R-11, R-12 & R-13 and Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared on behalf of R-5. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.34 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Aniruth Green India Pvt. Ltd

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

#### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and prayed to post this matter for arguments on 06.08.2024. Thiru. S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 06.08.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.35 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner

(Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Ariya Plastics ..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PI	₹	Ε	S	Ε	N	Τ	˙:-
----	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Thiru.K.Venkatesan Thiru.B.Mohan		Chairman Member Member(Legal)
<u>M.P.</u>	No.36 of 2023	
CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO	Vs	Petitioner (Thiru.Richardson Wilson)
M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt. Ltd	VS	Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd)	(Sd)	(Sd)
(B.Mohan)	(K.Venkatesan)	(M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal)	Member	Chairman
	//True Copy//	

# (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.37 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv. Richardson Wilson)

Vs

M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt. Ltd

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

#### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-
-----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.38 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO ..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Vs

M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and prayed to post this matter for arguments on 06.08.2024. Thiru. S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 06.08.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.5 of 2024

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.TCP Ltd & 80 others

.... Respondents
(Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1
Adv.Sashank Iyer for R-9
Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for –R-17, R-26, R-35, R-40, R-42, R-43,
R-46, R-56, R-58, R-59, R-68, R-72, R-79, R-80,
Adv.P.S.Suman for R-33)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the Respondent-1. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate for R-17, R-26, R-35, R-40, R-42, R-43, R-46, R-56, R-58, R-59, R-68, R-72, R-79, R-80. Ms.Samriddhi, Advocate appeared for R-9. Thiru.P.S.Suman, Advocate appeared for R-33. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.11 of 2024

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

(i) M/s.CWRE Wind Power Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Rahul Balaji for –R-1 & Adv. R.S.Suman for – R-2)

#### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Thiru.P.S.Suman, Advocate appeared for R-2. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRESENT</b>	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.6 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limted ..... Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO ..... Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

# **Hearing dated: 01.08.2024**

#### DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan and Mr.Rajesh Jha, Advocates from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO petitioner side ready for arguments. The counsel for the respondent sought time citing the voluminous records and time requested for analyzing each head of claim. TANGEDCO is directed to reconcile the accounts with the petitioner. The case is adjourned to 03.09.2024 for reporting the status on reconciliation and further hearing.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2023

M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) SE/Palladam EDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for appearance of Senior Advocate. Petitioner side arguments complete. The case is adjourned to 29.08.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.26 of 2023

Naveen Cotton Mill Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) SE/ Tirunelveli EDC

iii) SE/TANGEDCO

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for appearance of Senior Advocate. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 29.08.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-
-----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.6 of 2024

M/s.Shri Harikrishna Cotton Mills Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE / NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

### Hearing dated: 01.08.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for appearance of Senior Advocate. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 29.08.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//