(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.24 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Sheenlac Paints LtdRespondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the respondent sought adjournment for the purpose of collection and sharing of data. The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. The counsel for the respondent sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.22 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

i) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Ltd. & 12 Ors.

..... Respondents
(Adv.Thiru.Krishna Komaravolu,
Resolution Professional for R-1
Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-3,R-4, R-8, R-9,
R-11, R-12 & R-13 Adv.Rahul Balaji for -R-5)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Krishna Komaravolu, Resolution Professional appeared on behalf of 1st Respondent. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for R-3, R-4, R-8, R-9, R-11, R-12 & R-13 and Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared on behalf of R-5.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member (legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	ΕN	IT:-
----	----	----	------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No. 2 of 2024

M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CE/NCES/TANGEDCO

ii) MNRE Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought two weeks time for filing counter. At the request of the TANGEDCO, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.37 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv. Richardson Wilson)

Vs

M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt. Ltd

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd......) (B.Mohan) Member(legal) (Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

PR	ES	E١	ΝT	:-
----	----	----	----	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.34 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &

TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.Aniruth Green India Pvt. Ltd

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (M.Chandrasekar) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.No.1 of 2022 to T.A.No.5 of 2022

M/s.Sundaram Clyton Ltd. & 4 anothers

..... Petitioners

(M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC for – R1 & R2 Adv.Mahasweta for – R3)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.M.S.Krishnan, Senior Advocate from M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Mrs.Mahasweta, Advocate appeared for M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Pvt. Limited (R-3). The Senior Counsel for the petitioner has sought time and prayed to post this matter in the 2nd or 3rd week of June for filing reply to the rejoinder filed by TANGEDCO as new facts have been brought out in the rejoinder to which only TANGEDCO and second respondent are only privy and the petitioner needs time to obtain the details from TANGEDCO or the second respondent to defend itself. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 18.06.2024 for filing reply by the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.30 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent

(Adv. Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. The junior to Thiru.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola, Advocate appeared for the respondent and filed additional documents.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRE	S	E١	٧	Γ:-
-----	---	----	---	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.2 of 2023

NLC India Ltd. Petitioner

(HSB Advocates)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Ganesh, Advocate from M/s.HSB Advocates appeared for the petitioner.

Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and filed additional affidavit. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for filing reply to the additional affidavit filed by TANGEDCO. The respondent shall serve a copy of the additional affidavit on the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.22 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, Petitioner
TANGEDCO (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

- i) M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Ltd.
- ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale,

Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 captive users Respondents

(M/s.Shree Law Services)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought adjournment as he will get instructions from TANGEDCO. Thiru.T.Ravichandran, Advocate from M/s.Shree Law Services who appeared for the Respondent-1. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that notices to R-45, R-50, R-75 are yet to be served and sought permission for substituted service. Private Notice ordered for R-45, R-50 and R-75.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.5 of 2024

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.TCP Ltd & 80 others

.... Respondents (Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1 Adv.Sashank Iyer for R-9

Adv.S.R.Pandiyaraj for –R-17, R-26, R-35, R-40, R-42, R-43, R-46, R-56, R-58, R-59, R-68, R-72, R-79, R-80)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the Respondent-1. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate for R-17, R-26, R-35, R-40, R-42, R-43, R-46, R-56, R-58, R-59, R-68, R-72, R-79, R-80. Thiru.Sashank lyer, Advocate appeared for R-9.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.20 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.21 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

//True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.38 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Vs

M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No. 41 of 2023

M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.Shree Law Services)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO

ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd.

iii) MALCO Energy Ltd.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2 & R-3)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.T.Ravichandran, Advocate from M/s.Shree Law Services appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO (R-1) and Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd (R-2). The counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is a wilful disobedience of the orders of the Commission and the payment to the petitioner is withheld deliberately. The counsel for TANGEDCO submitted that an appeal has been preferred before Hon'ble APTEL and sought time for ascertaining outcome of the proceedings before APTEL. However, the petitioner submitted that there is no stay and prayed to direct the respondent to file counter affidavit in the next hearing. The case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for filing counter as a last chance.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.13 of 2023

M/s.Allsec Technologies Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Aviral Dhirendra)

Versus

i) Chairman / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Edwin, Manager (Legal) represented M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited and sought time for arguments. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

.... wember(Legai)

M.P.No.14 of 2023

M/s.Allsec Technologies Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Aviral Dhirendra)

Versus

i) Chairman / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Edwin, Manager (Legal) represented M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited and sought time for arguments. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for arguments.

(Sd......)(Sd.......)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.16 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

i) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. & 23 Ors. Respondents

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.17 of 2023

CFC/ Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner made a request for hearing of all CGP matters together as there are cases where data needs to be analyzed in cases other than companies where the essential criteria is ownership and control as per Gol Rule 2005. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate sought passing of implementation order by the Commission in totality to which the counsel for the petitioner also agreed in view of the necessity to pass a common order in all cases concerning CGP verification with reference to Gol Rule 2005 and judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in GUVNL case. Registry i.e., Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to prepare the draft consultative paper and host it in the website of the Commission for seeking the views of the stakeholders. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2024 for further hearing. Pleadings of all parties to be completed by then.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.2 of 2023 & M.P.No.29 of 2023

M/s.Annamalai University Petitioner (Adv.S.Sithiral Anandam)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &

TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

The Junior to Thiru.S.Sithirai Anandam, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondents. The counsel for the petitioner has filed Additional typedset and sought short adjournment. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for arguments on the I.A. filed by the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(L

.... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.23 of 2023

M/s.Christian Medical College

..... Petitioner (Adv.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Vellore EDC

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Krishna Srinivasan, Senior Advocate from M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments of the petitioner heard in-part. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	:-
----------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

Member(Legal)

M.P.No.24 of 2023

M/s.Christian Medical College

..... Petitioner (Adv.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO

(ii) SE/Vellore EDC

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Krishna Srinivasan, Senior Advocate from M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & appeared Thiru.A.P. Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments of the petitioner heard in-part. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	IT	·:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2023

Solitaire BTN Solar Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(SKV Law Offices)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Mr. Suhael Buttan and Mr. Nikunj Bhatnagar, Advocates from M/s.SKV Law Offices appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru. S.Gandhi representing PESOT made an oral representation for impleading himself in the proceedings. The Commission informed Thiru.S.Gandhi that without a formal application for impleading PESOT as a party, his request cannot be legally considered. Both side arguments already heard. The reply argument of the petitioner heard. Order Reserved. Written Submission to be filed by both side within 15 days.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.9 of 2023

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. Both side arguments already heard. Reply arguments of the petitioner heard today. Written submissions to be filed by both parties, if any, within 15 days. Order reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.12 of 2023

M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for reply arguments. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents and filed additional typedset. At the request of the petitioner side for perusing the additional typedset filed by the respondent and for advancing reply arguments, if any, the case is adjourned to 06.06.2024.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.13 of 2023

M/s.NVR Energy Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024
DAILY ORDER

Ms.Meenakshi, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for reply arguments. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents and filed additional typedset. At the request of the petitioner side for perusing the additional typedset filed by the respondent and for advancing reply arguments, if any, the case is adjourned to 06.06.2024.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the present petition which is concerned with compensation claim under section 11 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Commission directed the petitioner to file additional data supporting the claim and that she requires one week time for filing affidavit along with additional data. In regard to the direction given by the Commission for amending the prayer, the counsel for petitioner submitted that instead of amending the prayer, she desires to file a fresh petition for additional claim and would like to restrict the present petition to the claim made as on 12.06.2023. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 25.04.2024 for filing affidavit with supporting documents by the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.1 of 2023

CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Respondents

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Hearing dated :16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRE	S	E١	٧	Γ:-
-----	---	----	---	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limted Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 16.04.2024

DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and filed memo. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.30 of 2023

CE/ PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondents (M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Hearing dated: 16.04.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.05.2024 for further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//