

No.08/ 2025 dated: 14-02-2025

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION****CAUSE LIST for 18-02-2025 (Forenoon)****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2025	Chief Engineer / PPP, Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Seeking adoption of tariff discovered rate at Rs.5.58/-, Rs.5.79/- and Rs.5.99/- for RTC power for the period from February to May 2025 and Rs.8.70/- for peak hour power for Feb.2025 and Rs.8.90 for peak hour power for the period from March to May 2025 and other orders.  For admission.
2	R.A.No.1 of 2025	M/s. Christian Medical College  Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.  Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 22.01.2025 in Appeal No.408 of 2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.23 of 2023 for

				consideration afresh in accordance with law. For hearing.
3	R.A.No.2 of 2025	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/Commi/EE/T/A EE1/SFMC/CR.No.7887 /2002 dt. 27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.  Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 22.01.2025 in Appeal No.409 of 2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.24 of 2023 for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For hearing.
4	R.P.No.1 of 2025	Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.Rahul Balaji	Review the order dated 25.07.2024 in D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and dismiss the D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and declare that the respondent would be entitled to carrying cost on simple interest basis as per the terms agreed in the undertaking dated 30.04.2021 and other orders.  For filing counter on the maintainability and merits.

5	R.A.No.5 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller/Revenue, TANGEDCO Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson	<p>Appeal filed by TANGEDCO in Appeal No.396 of 2024 challenging the order dated 15.07.2024 passed by the Commission in Suo-Moto Order No.6 of 2024 in the matter of Determination of Tariff for Distribution for FY 2024-2025.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 08.10.2024 in Appeal No.396 of 2024 setting aside the impugned order to the limited extent in Suo Moto Order No.6 of 2024 directing the Commission shall put the TANGEDCO on notice, give them an opportunity of being heard, and thereafter, pass an order afresh, on the issue of start-up power, in accordance with law.</p> <p>For completion of pleadings and further hearing.</p>
6	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has correctly accounted for payments made against its energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/-

				including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest.  For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/IPP/PPP, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/SLDC, TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the bill dispute Notices issued by the Respondent dated 26.05.2023 & 26.06.2023 are illegal and contrary to the terms of the PPA and direct the Respondents to pay the petitioner an amount of Rs.1,66,501 towards incentive / capacity charges for declared capacity on 16.5.2023 and direct the respondent to make payment of Rs.1,46,77,682 for the power supplied by the petitioner from 19.4.2023 to 16.5.2023 under the pass through mechanism during the operation of MoP directions dated 5.5.2022 and 20.2.2023 and other others.  For arguments.
<b>Batch cases ( Item Nos.8 to 10 )</b> <b>In the matter of Alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption – For arguments</b>				
8	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption.  For arguments.

9	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
10	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
<b>Batch cases ( Item Nos.11 to 13 ) In the matter of Detailed Procedure for Open Access in Transmission and Distribution System – For further hearing</b>				
11	M.P.No.16 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited & Ors. (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd (vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10  Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	To approve the proposed changes in the 'NOC consent formats' for the HT consumer as 'Format ST-6' and for the generator as 'Format ST-5' to be issued by the SE/EDCs for the HT consumers / generators who applies for open access.
12	M.P.No.39 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10  Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	To approve to the format of "Status Report" to be obtained from the SE/EDCs concerned and to submit by the HT consumers who seeks open access.

		(vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited		
13	M.P.No.3 of 2021	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) CMD / TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To punish the respondents for having continuously violating the Regulatory Obligations and also for having violated the specific directions issued by the Commission in its Lr.No.TNERC/D/E/DDEI /F.OA/D.No.1202/2019 dated 7.11.2019, as required to be passed under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission