TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 27-03-2025 (Forenoon) (Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time: 11.00 AM

SI. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.13 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	For order.
2	M.P.No.47 of 2024	 (i) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO M/s.ITC Limited Vs (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North 	M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To punish the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 36 of the TNERC - Conduct of Business Regulations, 2004 for non-compliance of directions issued by the Commission in its order dated 17.08.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and other orders.
				For filing consolidated calculation memo by the respondent including interest portion.
3	R.A.No.4 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller/Revenue, TANGEDCO Ltd Versus M/s.The Chettinad Cement Corpn. Pvt. Limited	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Chettinad Cement Corporation Pvt. Limited is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Financial Years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 and they are liable to pay Cross Subsidy Surcharge for an amount of Rs.95,02,09,269/- for disqualification of

				Captive Status. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 18.11.2024 in Appeal No.76 of 2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.36 of 2020 for consideration afresh in accordance with
4	R.A.No.1 of 2025	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	M/s.S.Ramasubramanian & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	law. For arguments. To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 22.01.2025 in Appeal No.408 of 2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.23 of 2023 for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For reporting status in filing an appeal before the Supreme Court.

	— • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	R.A.No.2 of 2025	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO	M/s.S.Ramasubramanian & Associates	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/CommI/EE/T/A EE1/SFMC/CR.No.7887 /2002 dt. 27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd
		ii) SE/Vellore EDC	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 22.01.2025 in Appeal No.409 of 2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in M.P.No.24 of 2023 for consideration afresh in accordance with law.
				For reporting status in filing an appeal before the Supreme Court.
6	P.R.C.No.2 of 2025	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limied (TNPDCL)	M/s.J Sagar Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct TNPDCL and SEPC to modify the PPA dt.12.2.1998 in line with the intent and mandate of the Act, settled law and this Commission's Regulations, in consideration of the parameters and other orders.
				the classification of the petition.

	In the		tem Nos.7 to 9) ss consumption in wind er	orav
		_	ion – For arguments	leigy
7	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012
		1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Richardson Wilson	in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption.
				For arguments.
8	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012
		TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Richardson Wilson	in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption.
				For arguments.
9	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in
		TANGEDCO	Adv.Richardson Wilson	the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
	Ba	tch cases (From	item Nos.10 to 18)	-
	In the matte		on alleged excess draw	val of power
			guments	
10	D.R.P.No.5 of 2024	Ltd Versus (i) SE/Chennai North	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To quash the impugned demand letter dated 30.1.2024 seeking to levy a sum
		EDC, TANGEDCO (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO (iii) Chairman / TANTRANSCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	of Rs.2,71,02,948/- towards "Grid Availability Charges" as well as the audit
		(iv) Director (Oprns.), TANTRANSCO Ltd (v) Director(Distn.), TANGEDCO		slips on the basis of which the said impugned demand was issued, as the letter and claims
				therein are illegal,

				barred by limitation and have been issued without authority of law.
11	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (iChairman/TANTRANS CO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
12	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
13	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i)Chairman / TANGEDC (ii)MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
14	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
15	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

16	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High
		Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO	Adv.N.Kumanan &	Court of Madras in the
		(ii) MD/TANTRANSCO &	Adv.N.Rumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	matter of adjustment of units.
		Ors.		or units.
17	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To set aside the
		Limited		impuged
		Versus		communications dated
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Richardson Wilson	13.02.2015 and
		(ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC		24.04.2015 and the consequential demand
		(iv) SLDC		of the 3rd respondent
				letter dt.29.06.2015
				demanding a sum of
				Rs.8,58,23,430/- and
				pass other orders.
18	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint &	Adv.Rahul Balaji	To set aside the
		Papers Limited Versus		impugned communications dated
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Richardson Wilson	13.02.2015 and
		(ii) CE/PPP		24.04.2015 and the
		(iií) SE/Karur EDC		consequential demand
		(iv) SLDC		of the 3rd respondent
				letter dt.21.07.2016
				demanding a sum of
				Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders.
-	D	atah aacaa (Eran	n itom Noo 10 to 22)	
			n item Nos.19 to 33)	
	– In the		S – For filing memo in rega	ard to
19	M.P.No.26 of 2024	Chief Financial	on the respondents Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the
19	WI.F.NO.20 01 2024	Controller / Deposits &		generator M/s.Gamma
		Documentation,		Green Power Pvt.
		TANGEDCO		Limited has lost the
		Versus		CGP status under
		(1) M/s.Gamma Green	Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1,	Rule-3 of the
		Power Pvt. Limited & 29	R-26 & R-27	Electricity Rules, 2005
		others		read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act,
				2003 for the FY 2014-
				2015 to 2016-17, 2018-
				19, 2020-2021 to 2022-
				2023 and pass other
				orders.
20	M.P.No.27 of 2024	Chief Financial	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the
		Controller / Deposits &		generator M/s.Aban
		Documentation,		Green Power Pvt.
		TANGEDCO		Limited has lost the
		Versus		CGP status under
		(1) M/s.Aban Green Power Pvt. Limited & 2		Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005
1				Electricity Rules, 2005

		others		read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2016- 2017, 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2022-2023 and pass other orders.
21	M.P.No.28 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Clean Switch India Pvt. Limited & 9 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-3, R-4, R-6 to R-9	To declare that the generator M/s.Clean Switch India Pvt. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2017- 2018 to 2022-2023 and pass other orders.
22	M.P.No.29 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Sabari Industries Pvt. Limited & 3 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the generator M/s.Sabari Industries Pvt. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2017-2018 and 2018- 2019 and pass other orders.
23	M.P.No.30 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Saheli Exports Pvt. Limited & 7 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-5 Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-6 & R-7	To declare that the generator M/s.Saheli Exports Pvt. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2017-2018 and 2018- 2019 and pass other orders.

24	M.P.No.31 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Green Infra Wind Power Projects Limited & 21 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the generator M/s.Green Infra Wind Power Projects Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2016- 2017, 2017-2018, 2019- 2020 and 2022-2023 and pass other orders.
25	M.P.No.32 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Southern Energy Development Corpn. Limited & 18 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1, R-2 to R-14	To declare that the generator M/s.Southern Energy Development Corpn. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2014- 15 to 2022-23 and pass other orders.
26	M.P.No.33 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Radhapuram Wintech Private Limited & 2 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the generator M/s.Radhapuram Wintech Private Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2020- 2021 and pass other orders.
27	M.P.No.34 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Vagarai Wind Farm Limited & 4 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.Sagus Legal for R-1 M/s.J Sagar Associates for R-2 & R-5	To declare that the generator M/s.Vagarai Wind Farm Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2020-2021 and pass other orders.

28	M.P.No.35 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Sai Regency Power Corpn. Pvt. Limited & 14 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2, R-3 to R-5, R-10, R-12, R-15	To declare that the generator M/s.Sai Regency Power Corpn. Pvt. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2016- 17 & 2019-2020 and pass other orders.
29	M.P.No.36 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Clarion Wind Farm Private Limited & 80 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1, R-69 to R-80	To declare that the generator M/s.Clarion Wind Farm Pvt. Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2014- 15 to 2020-2021 and pass other orders.
30	M.P.No.37 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Mulanur Renewable Energy Limited & 8 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that the generator M/s.Mulanur Renewable Energy Limited has lost the CGP status under Rule-3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 read with section 2(8) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for the FY 2017- 18, 2019-2020 to 2022- 2023 and pass other orders.
31	M.P.No.38 of 2024	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (1) M/s.Brookefields Estates Pvt. Limited & 37 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2, R-3, R-5, R-7, R-9, R-10, R- 15, R-18, R-21, R-22, R-23, R-24, R-26, R-28, R-31, R-33, R-34, R-35, R-38, Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-1, R-30 M/s.RRN Legal Advocates	To declare that Shopping Malls, are not the "Captive Users" within the meaning of Rule-3 of the Electricity Act, 2005 for the Financial Years 2014-15 to 2023- 2024 and pass such further or other orders.

32	M.P.No.48 of 2024	CFC/D&D, TNPDCL Versus (1) M/s.NSL Wind Power Company (Phoolwadi) Private Limited & 104 others	for R-12 M/s.Surana & Surana for R-13 Adv.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 M/s.Seven Elementz Legal Associates LLP for R-67	To declare that M/s.NSL Wind Power Company (Phoolwadi) Private Limited lost the "Captive Generating Plant" staus for the Financial Years 2014-2015 to 2020-2021 and 2022- 2023 and other orders.
33	M.P.No.49 of 2024	CFC/D&D, TNPDCL Versus (1) M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited & 10 others	Adv.Richardson Wilson	To declare that M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited has lost the "Captive Generating Plant" staus for the Financial Years 2015-16, 2016- 17, 2017-18 & 2019- 2020 and other orders.

(By order	of the	Commission)	
-----------	--------	---------------------	--

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission