

No.04/ 2025 dated: 25-01-2025

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 28-01-2025 (Forenoon)****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	For order.
2	R.P.No.1 of 2025	Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Review the order dated 25.07.2024 in D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and dismiss the D.R.P.No.9 of 2023 and declare that the respondent would be entitled to carrying cost on simple interest basis as per the terms agreed in the undertaking dated 30.04.2021 and other orders. For admission.
3	M.P.No.19 of 2023	Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.Shree Law Services	To declare that M/s.Ind Bharat Powergencom Ltd has lost captive status for the financial year 2017-2018. For counter.
	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO	M/s.Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson	Application filed by M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Ltd to reject the application filed by TANGEDCO in I.A.No.1 of 2024. For rejoinder in I.A.No.2 of 2024.

	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023	Chief Financial Controller/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale, Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 Captives	Adv.Richardson Wilson M/s.Shree Law Services	Application filed by the petitioner to implead the Resolution Professional and the captive users as proposed respondents. For further hearing.
4	M.P.No.1 of 2025	M/s.Rollatainers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Electricity Ombudsman (iii) Chairman / CGRF, Krishnagiri EDC (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) SE/Krishnagiri EDC (vi) M/s.Rajkumar Impex P Ltd (vii) E.Saravanan, Proprietor, M/s.E.S.Logic Power	Adv.S.Eshwar Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1, R-3 to R-5	To issue an order or direction calling for the records on the file of the 2nd Respondent and 5th Respondent pertaining to the Impugned demand notice dated 29.08.2016 and quash the same as being arbitrary and illegal and other orders. For filing counter affidavit on maintainability and merits.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.4 of 2024	M/s.SRG Apparels Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC (iv) SE/Trichy/Metro EDC (v) M/s.Apex Coco and Solar Energy Ltd	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy for R-1 to R-4	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 06.02.2024 and impugned CC bill dated 08.03.2024 and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice bearing letter dated 6.2.2024 and 8.3.2024 insofar as Sl.No.20 "Adjustment Charges' of Rs.26,02.888/- is concerned as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the scheme of OA which is guaranteed under the Electricity Act, 2003 and pass appropriate orders. For rejoinder.

6	D.R.P.No.18 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To grant in-principle approval of the total capital cost of Rs.14.16 crores to be incurred for installation of the Combustion / Modification / Process and other orders. For Rejoinder.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.22 of 2024 & D.R.P.No.22 of 2024	M/s.Space Textiles Pvt Limited Vs (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TNGECL (iii) SE/Coimbatore EDC (iv) SE/Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass an order of Interim Stay of the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 13.06.2023 and the consequential impugned demand letter dated 17.08.2024 and CC bill dated 07.09.2024 issued by the 4th Respondent and to quash the 3rd Respondent's impugned demand notice dated 13.06.2023 and 17.08.2024 and CC bill dated 07.09.2024 issued by the 4th Respondent insofar as Serial No.21 (Adjustment charges) for a sum of Rs.22,55,145/- as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and contrary to the Energy Wheeling Agreements and other orders. For rejoinder.
8	R.A.No.5 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller/Revenue, TANGEDCO Ltd	Adv.Richardson Wilson	Appeal filed by TANGEDCO in Appeal No.396 of 2024 challenging the order dated 15.07.2024 passed by the Commission in Suo-Moto Order No.6 of 2024 in the matter of Determination of Tariff

				<p>for Distribution for FY 2024-2025.</p> <p>Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 08.10.2024 in Appeal No.396 of 2024 setting aside the impugned order to the limited extent in Suo Moto Order No.6 of 2024 directing the Commission shall put the TANGEDCO on notice, give them an opportunity of being heard, and thereafter, pass an order afresh, on the issue of start-up power, in accordance with law.</p> <p>For filing additional affidavit and further hearing.</p>
9	M.P.No.45 of 2024	Chief Engineer / NCES, Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited (TNGECL)	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	<p>Seeking approval to collect Resource charges of Rs.50 lakhs per MW for all the pending applications for which in-principle approval is yet to be issued in respect of CTU connected projects and also to approve to collect Resource charges of Rs.50 lakhs per MW for all the pending applications for which location clearance approval is yet to be issued in respect of CTU connected projects.</p> <p>For filing additional affidavit.</p>

	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.45 of 2024	M/s.T.P.Vardhaman Surya Limited Versus Chief Engineer / NCES, Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited (TNGECL)	M/s.SKV Law Offices on behalf of proposed Impleader Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Seeking impleadment and array applicant / M/s.T.P.Vardhaman Surya Limited as a proper and necessary party in M.P.No.45 of 2024 filed by Tamil Nadu Greed Energy Corporation Limited. For filing counter in I.A.No.1 of 2024.
	I.A.No.2 of 2024 in M.P.No.45 of 2024	M/s.Wind Independent Power Producers' Association Versus Chief Engineer / NCES, Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited (TNGECL)	Adv.Vishrov Mukerjee on behalf of Impleading Petitioner Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Seeking impleadment and array applicant / M/s.Wind Independent Power Producers' Assn as a proper and necessary party in M.P.No.45 of 2024 filed by Tamil Nadu Greed Energy Corporation Limited. For filing counter in I.A.No.2 of 2024.
	I.A.No.1 of 2025 in M.P.No.45 of 2024	M/s.Wind Independent Power Producers' Association Versus Chief Engineer / NCES, Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited (TNGECL)	Adv.Vishrov Mukerjee on behalf of Impleading Petitioner Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	I.A.No.1 of 2025 filed by WIPPA seeking dismissal of the petition filed by TNGECL in light of the final judgement dt.17.12.2024 in W.P.No.26250 of 2024. For admission of I.A.No.1 of 2025.
10	M.P.No.47 of 2024	M/s.ITC Limited Vs (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To punish the respondents under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 36 of the TNERC - Conduct of Business Regulations, 2004 for non-compliance of directions issued by the Commission in its order dated 17.08.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and other orders. For filing calculation memo and arguments.

11	D.R.P.No.10 of 2024	M/s.L.S.Mills Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Generation (iii) SE/NCES (iv) SE (i/c) / Operation (v) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To direct the respondents to give refund of Rs.1,60,00,000 towards refundable security deposit along with interest amount of Rs.27,20,000 as on 30.04.2024 and pass such further or other orders. For arguments.
12	I.A.No.1 of 2024 to I.A.No.3 of 2024 in D.R.P.No.11 of 2024 and D.R.P.No.11 of 2024	M/s.Reconnect Energy Solutions Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GO, SLDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the 2nd Respondent's impugned notice bearing letter dated 18.06.2024 and 25.06.2024 as illegal, arbitrary, without authority and against the TNERC (Forecasting, scheduling and Deviation Settlement and related matters for Wind and Solar Generation) Regulations, 2024 and consequently direct the 2nd Respondent to register the petitioner as 'Solar State Level QCA' for Tamil Nadu as per Regulations 5.1 of the Regulation and other orders. For arguments.
Batch cases (Item Nos.13 to 17) - In the matter of Start-up charges				
13	I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.51 of 2024	(i) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDC)	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Application seeking an interim injunction restraining the respondent from initiating any recovery action, contrary to the directions / clarifications issued by the Commission dated 29.12.2023, pending disposal of the above petition. For rejoinder.

	M.P.No.51 of 2024	(i) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL)	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To issue an appropriate clarifications on the consistency of the directions / clarifications issued by the Commission, with the start-up tariff provisions tariff orders in T.P.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.08.2017 and Order No.7 of 2022 in T.P.No.1 of 2022 dated 9.9.2022 in light of the interim order passed by APTEL in APL No.353 of 2024 dated 30.09.2024 and other orders. For rejoinder.
14	I.A.No.1 of 2025 In M.P.No.55 of 2024	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E/CEDC/North	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1 st & 3 rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders. For admission of I.A.
	M.P.No.55 of 2024	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling

		(iv) S.E./CEDC/North		<p>within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.14 of 2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For counter.</p>
15	I.A.No.1 of 2025 in M.P.No.56 of 2024	<p>M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Dindigul EDC (v) AO/Revenue/Dindigul EDC</p>	<p>Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1st & 3rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders.</p> <p>For admission of I.A.</p>
	M.P.No.56 of 2024	<p>M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Dindigul EDC (v) AO/Revenue/Dindigul EDC</p>	<p>Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson</p>	<p>Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the</p>

				said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.23 of 2022 and other orders. For counter.
16	I.A.No.1 of 2025 In M.P.No.57 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Perambalur EDC (v) DFC/AO/Rev. Perambalur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1 st & 3 rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders. For admission of I.A.
	M.P.No.57 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Perambalur EDC (v) DFC/AO/Rev. Perambalur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.25 of 2022 and other orders. For counter.

17	I.A.No.1 of 2025 in M.P.No.58 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Karur EDC (v) DFC/Revenue/Karur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To pass an order of Interim Injunction restraining the 1 st & 3 rd Respondents from claiming the demand charges, for the supply of electricity to generators, falling under category-I under the order dated 29.12.2023, at any amount in excess of the 50% of the demand charges, as provided in the table under Tariff Orders dated 11.08.2017 and 09.09.2022 and other orders. For admission of I.A.
	M.P.No.58 of 2024	M/s.Chettinad Cement Versus (i) CMD / Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (TNPDCCL) (ii) Director (Finance) / TANGEDCO (iii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iv) S.E./Karur EDC (v) DFC/Revenue/Karur EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	Declare that in terms of the T.O.No.1 of 2017 dated 11.07.2017 passed by the Commission determining the tariff in terms of Clause 6.1.2 and in respect of Start-up power supply by the R-1 to generators falling within the Category-I, described in the clarification order, the applicable demand charges shall be as computed mutatis mutandis as per the said clarification order dated 29.12.2023 in T.A.No.24 of 2022 and other orders. For counter.

Batch cases (Item Nos. 18 to 20)
In the matter of Detailed Procedure for Open Access in Transmission and Distribution System – For further hearing

18	M.P.No.16 of 2021	<p>CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited & Ors. (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd (vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10</p> <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2</p>	<p>To approve the proposed changes in the 'NOC consent formats' for the HT consumer as 'Format ST-6' and for the generator as 'Format ST-5' to be issued by the SE/EDCs for the HT consumers / generators who applies for open access.</p>
19	M.P.No.39 of 2020	<p>CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj Spinning Mills (Pvt.) Limited (ii) GHCL Ltd (iii) Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Limited (iv) Bannari Amman Spinning Mills (v) Shiva Mills Ltd (vi) Sri Jayajothi & Co. Pvt. Limited (vii) Vijay Spinners (RJPM) Pvt. Limited (viii) Guruvayurappan Textiles Pvt. Limited (ix) TASMA (x) The Rajaratna Mills Pvt. Limited</p>	<p>Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy</p> <p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 & R-3 to R-10</p> <p>Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2</p>	<p>To approve to the format of "Status Report" to be obtained from the SE/EDCs concerned and to submit by the HT consumers who seeks open access.</p>
20	M.P.No.3 of 2021	<p>M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Limited</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>(i) Chairman /</p>	<p>Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj</p> <p>Adv.N.Kumanan &</p>	<p>To punish the respondents for having continuously violating the Regulatory</p>

		TANTRANSCO (ii) CMD / TANGEDCO	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Obligations and also for having violated the specific directions issued by the Commission in its Lr.No.TNERC/D/E/DDEI /F.OA/D.No.1202/2019 dated 7.11.2019, as required to be passed under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.
Batch cases (From item Nos.21 to 23) - In the matter of Transmission Charges - For arguments				
21	M.P.No.39 of 2024	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CMD / TANTRANSCO (iii) SE/Commercial Operation, TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 dated 12.03.2024 and impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023.
22	D.R.P.No.14 of 2024	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iii) SE/Commercial Operation (iv) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to refund the transmission charges of Rs.37,34,480/- paid by the petitioner in respect of its Generating Station under its MTOA dated 28.12.2022 along with interest of Rs.1,76,789/- and other orders.
23	R.P.No.1 of 2024	CE/GO, TANTRANSCO Ltd Versus M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv. Rahul Balaji	To review the order dated 12.03.2024 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2023 and other orders.

**Batch cases (From item Nos.24 to 26) -
For reporting status on the pending matter before APTEL**

24	D.R.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D030/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.
25	D.R.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D032/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.
26	D.R.P.No.16 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F. HT 108/F.DKT/D031/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.

Batch cases (Item Nos.27 to 35)
- In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units –
For arguments

27	D.R.P.No.5 of 2024	M/s.ARS Energy Private Ltd Versus (i) SE/Chennai North EDC, TANGEDCO (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO (iii) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (iv) Director (Oprns.), TANTRANSCO Ltd (v) Director(Distn.), TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the impugned demand letter dated 30.1.2024 seeking to levy a sum of Rs.2,71,02,948/- towards "Grid Availability Charges" as well as the audit slips on the basis of which the said impugned demand was issued, as the letter and claims therein are illegal, barred by limitation and have been issued without authority of law.
28	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i)Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
29	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
30	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i)Chairman / TANGEDC (ii)MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

31	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
32	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
33	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
34	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders.
35	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission