# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.54 of 2024

Chief Financial Controller / Regulatory Cell,
Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Ltd. (TNPDCL) ..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO)

# Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"2.8. In the result the petition is dismissed as infructuous."

(Sd......)
(B.Mohan)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal)
Member

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.15 of 2025

M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD / TNPDCL & Ors. .....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TNPDCL)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N. Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the petitioner heard. Petition admitted. TNPDCL is directed to file counter affidavit. The case is adjourned to 01.04.2025 for filing counter affidavit.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(R.Manivannan)
Chairman

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### **PRESENT:-**

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.16 of 2025

M/s. Velatal Industries Pvt. Ltd., ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD / TNPDCL & Ors. .....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy

Standing Counsels for TNPDCL)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N. Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the petitioner heard. Petition admitted. TNPDCL is directed to file counter affidavit. The case is adjourned to 01.04.2025 for filing counter affidavit.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	<b>ES</b>	EN	IT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.17 of 2025

M/s.Dalmia Bharat Sugar and Industries Limited ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) Chairman / TNGECL & Ors.

.....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TNGECL)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N. Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the petitioner heard. Petition admitted. TNPDCL is directed to file counter affidavit. The case is adjourned to 01.04.2025 for filing counter affidavit.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan)
Member(legal) Member

(Sd.....)
(R.Manivannan)
Chairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.1 of 2025

M/s.Modi & Modi Co. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.K.R.Arun Shabari)

Versus

(i) CMD / TNPDCL & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Pazhani, Junior to Thiru.K.R.Arun Shabari, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N. Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondent and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 03.04.2025 for filing counter affidavit.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2024 in L.P.No.1 of 2024 & L.P.No.1 of 2024

Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES)
Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer ..... Petitioner (M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates )
Versus
i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondnets (Adv. Richardson Wilson )

# Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Raveendran, Advocate from M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing additional affidavit. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.04.2025 for filing additional affidavit by the petitioner.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.18 of 2024

M/s.Amarjothi Spinning Mills Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated :11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent submitted that entire payment has been made and the order of the Commission has been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner confirmed the same. The petitioner is directed to file a memo before the next hearing. The case is adjourned to 18.03.2025 for filing memo by the petitioner.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.41 of 2024

M/s.Angalakshmi Spinning Mills ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondnets

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

#### Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent submitted that entire payment has been made and the order of the Commission has been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner confirmed the same and prayed to close this matter. In view of the subsequent events, proceedings in the petition ordered to be terminated.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.42 of 2024

M/s.Shakthi Murugan Textiles ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent submitted that entire payment has been made and the order of the Commission has been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner confirmed the same and prayed to close this matter. In view of the subsequent events, proceedings in the petition ordered to be terminated.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.47 of 2024

M/s.ITC Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam &

Associates)

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

> (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**<u>Hearing dated : 11.03.2025</u> DAILY ORDER** 

Mohan, Advocate from M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Thiru.S.Shivathanu Associates appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and filed calculation memo. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is discrepancy in regard to the principal amount claimed by the petitioner and principal amount setout in the calculation memo and as such the petitioner desires to file objections to the calculation memo. Further the petitioner's counsel prayed for an interim order directing the respondents to make payment or adjustments in the CC bills in regard to the principal amount admitted by the respondents in the calculation memo together with accrued interest without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to have the principal amount ascertained during enquiry in the main petition. The Counsel for the respondents prayed for a week to clarify the same upon instructions from the officials of TNPDCL / TANGEDCO. At request matter adjourned to 18.03.2025 for filing objections to the calculation memo and interest calculation memo on the admitted principal amount. Respondents directed to initiate action to make adjustment of the admitted principal amount in the CC bills of the petitioner.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (R.Manivannan) Member(legal) Member

//True Copy//

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity **Regulatory Commission** 

(Sd.....)

Chairman

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.Fortune Integrated Assests Finance Ltd. (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd.)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

#### Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner sought time. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 01.04.2025 for arguments.

(Sd....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (R.Manivannan) Member Chairman Member(legal)

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji )

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondnets (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

# Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing legal precedents. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 25.03.2025 for filing legal precedents and further hearing. Copy of the judgment shall be served upon the petitioner's counsel atleast a week before next hearing date.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2021

M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Anirudh Krishnan)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Preethi Sivaraman, Junior to Thiru.Anirudh Krishnan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 03.04.2025 for arguments.

(Sd.....)(Sd......)(Sd......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### **PRESENT:-**

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.43 of 2024

M/s.The United Nilgiris Tea Estates Co. Limited .... Petitioner

(Adv.C.S.Kiran

Versus The Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

# Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.C.S.Kiran, Advocate appeared for the petitioner.

Tvl.N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Brief arguments of both side heard. Adjourned to 01.04.2025 for further arguments.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited ..... Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO ..... Respondent (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 11.03.2025 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan and Mr.Rajesh Jha, Advocates from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. In view of the pendency of the Impleading Petitions in I.A.No.2 of 2024 and I.A.No.3 of 2024, the case is adjourned to 25.03.2025.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.2 of 2024 in M.P.No.6 of 2023

TNEB Engineers' Union

..... Petitioner (Adv.S.Titto Prabhu)

Versus

i) M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd.

ii) TANGEDCO Ltd.

..... Respondents (M/s.J Sagar Associates for R-1 Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-2)

Hearing dated : 11.03.2025

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Magesh Kumar, Advocate appeared for the Impleading Petitioner i.e., TNEB Engineers' Union and sought two weeks time for filing written submissions. Ms.Gayatri Aryan and Mr.Rajesh Jha, Advocates from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the Respondent-1. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for R-2. At the request of the Impleading Petitioner, the case is adjourned to 25.03.2025 for filing written submissions.

(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(Sd.....)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(R.Manivannan)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

# I.A.No.3 of 2024 in M.P.No.6 of 2023

Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) ..... Petitioner

(Rep. by its President)

Versus

i) M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd.

ii) TANGEDCO Ltd.

..... Respondents

(M/s.J Sagar Associates for R-1 Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-2)

Hearing dated : 11.03.2025

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Gandhi, President represented Power Engineers' Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) the Impleading Petitioner and sought two weeks time for arguments. Ms.Gayatri Aryan and Mr.Rajesh Jha, Advocates from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the Respondent-1. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for R-2. At the request of the Impleading Petitioner, the case is adjourned to 25.03.2025 for arguments of the Impleading Petitioner and also filing written submissions if desired.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd.....)
(R.Manivannan)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### **PRESENT:-**

Thiru.R.Manivannan .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.18 of 2025

Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Ltd.,(TNPDCL)

..... Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TNPDCL)

Hearing dated : 11.03.2025

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Consequent to the mentioning made by Thiru.N.Kumanan, Standing Counsel for TNPDCL in open court, both I.A. (SR No.29 of 2025) and Main Petition (SR.No.30 of 2025) were taken up for hearing today i.e., 11.03.2025.

Thiru.N.Kumanan, Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.Girinathan, Financial Controller / Regulatory Cell of TNPDCL present. The learned counsel submitted that since the delay caused is on account of administrative process and the petitioner being a State instrumentality, the delay in filing the Main Petition may be condoned in the interest of all the Stakeholders. Since plausible explanation has been offered, the interlocutory application is allowed and the delay is condoned. Submissions made by the Counsel for the petitioner and the official of the petitioner heard. The interlocutory application seeking condonation of delay (S.R.No.29 of 2025) shall be assigned I.A.No.1 of 2025 and the Main

Petition (S.R.No.30 of 2025) shall be assigned M.P.No.18 of 2025. Main Petition is admitted.

TNPDCL is directed to webhost the petition immediately for seeking comments from the stakeholders' with a time limit of 15 days. Reply shall be sent to the stakeholders. TNPDCL is also directed to file before the Commission the comments received from the stakeholders along with replies sent to the stakeholders by way of an affidavit. In the meantime, Tariff Wing of the Commission is directed to scrutinize the petition and obtain documents from TNPDCL with regard to data gap, if any. The case is adjourned to 01.04.2025 for filling affidavit and for further hearing.

(Sd.....) (Sd......) (Sd......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (R.Manivannan)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//